

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                   | FILING DATE       | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |  |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 09/873,478 06/04/2001                             |                   | Osamu Kuroda         | 51431-20001.00      | 5835             |  |  |
|   | 7590 12/15/2004   | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |  |  |
| MORRISON & FOERSTER, LLP<br>555 WEST FIFTH STREET |                   |                      | KOCH, GE            | KOCH, GEORGE R   |  |  |
| <b>SUITE 3500</b>                                 |                   | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |  |  |
| LOS ANGELI  | ES, CA 90013-1024 | 1734                 |                     |                  |  |  |

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |  | Applicati   | on No.   | Applicant(s)   |         |
|--|--|---|--|--|---------|
|  |  | 09/873,4  | 78   | KURODA, OSAMU  |         |
| Office Action  | Summary  | Examine   | r  | Art Unit   |         |
|  |  | George R  | . Koch III   | 1734   |         |
| The MAILING DATE   | of this communication  | n appears on th   | e cover sheet with th  | ne correspondence address  | ·       |
| Any reply received by the Office la earned patent term adjustment. S | I HIS COMMUNICATI le under the provisions of 37 Ci ailing date of this communication le is less than thirty (30) days, bove, the maximum statutory p tended period for reply will, by ter than three months after the lee 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ON. FR 1.136(a). In no evin. a reply within the state eriod will apply and wistatute, cause the appropriating date of this consistency. | ent, however, may a reply b<br>utory minimum of thirty (30)<br>ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS f | e timely filed  days will be considered timely.  rom the mailing date of this communic | cation. |
| 1) Responsive to comm  |  |   |  |  |         |
| 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b>                                    | ,—   | This action is n  |  |  |         |
| 3) Since this application  | n is in condition for all  | owance except   | for formal matters,  | prosecution as to the merit  | ts is   |
| ciosed in accordance   | e with the practice und  | ier Ex parte Qu   | ayle, 1935 C.D. 11,  | 453 O.G. 213.  |         |
| Disposition of Claims  |  |   |  |  |         |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> is/are                                      | pending in the applica   | tion.   |  |  |         |
| 4a) Of the above clai  | m(s) is/are with   |   | nsideration.   |  |         |
| 5) Claim(s) is/ar  | e allowed.   |   |  |  |         |
| 6) Claim(s) is/ar  |  |   |  | <del>-</del>   |         |
| 7) Claim(s) is/ar  | -  |   |  |  |         |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> are su                                       | =  | l/or election rea   | uirement.  |  |         |
| Application Papers   |  |   | ,  |  | ·       |
| 9) The specification is o  | piected to by the Evan   | niner   |  |  |         |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed o   |  |   | Tabiostad to by th   | o Evaminas   |         |
| Applicant may not requi  | est that any objection to  | the drawing(s) h  |  | e Examiner.  |         |
|  |  |   |  |  |         |
| 11) The eath or declaration  | on is objected to by the   | Transfer No   | ed if the drawing(s) is  | objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12   | 21(d).  |
| 11) ☐ The oath or declaration  |  | e Examiner. No  | te the attached Office   | ce Action or form PTO-152  | 2.      |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119                                       | )  |   |  |  |         |
|  | ade of a claim for fore  I None of:  of the priority docum  of the priority docum  | ents have beer  | received.  |  |         |
| <ol><li>Copies of the c</li></ol>                                    | ertified copies of the p   | priority docume   | nts have been recei  | ved in this National Stage   |         |
|  | n the International Bur  |   |  | - I all of the order   |         |
| * See the attached detai   | ed Office action for a   | list of the certifi   | ed copies not receive  | ved.   |         |
| Attachment(s)  |  |   |  |  |         |
| Notice of References Cited (PTC)                                     | 1_802)   |   | мП., · · · · ·   | (878.444)  |         |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent [                                 | امور)<br>Prawing Review (PTO-948)  |   | 4)   |  |         |
| Information Disclosure Statemen     Paper No(s)/Mail Date            | t(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/  | (08)  |  | Patent Application (PTO-152)   |         |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office<br>TOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)                | Office   | Action Summary  | · F  | Part of Paper No./Mail Date 2004   | 1210    |

Art Unit: 1734

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-44, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 118, subclass 712.
- II. Claims 45-50, drawn to a method, classified in class 427, subclass 8.

  The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process, such as one where all items are processed an separation occurs after processing.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
  - a. Species A: wherein an open close mechanism is used (see Figure 2).
  - b. Species B: wherein a carrier retreat device is used (see Figure 6)

Application/Control Number: 09/873,478

Art Unit: 1734

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

4. During a telephone conversation between Examiner Katherine Bareford and Mehran Arjomand on 11/30/2004 a provisional election was made with traverse to

Application/Control Number: 09/873,478

Art Unit: 1734

prosecute the invention of group I, claims 1-44. However, this election is being temporarily set aside to resolve the species election of the apparatus.

5. A telephone call was made to Mehran Arjomand on 12/10/2004 to request an oral election to the above species requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George R. Koch III whose telephone number is (571) 272-1230 (TDD only). If the applicant cannot make a direct TDD-to-TDD call, the applicant can communicate by calling the Federal Relay Service at 1-866-377-8642 and giving the operator the above TDD number. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 10-7.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Fiorilla can be reached on (571) 272-1187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1734

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

George R. Koch III Patent Examiner Art Unit 1734

GRK 12/10/2004